



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/604,046

06/24/2003

James H. Wright

WRIGP001US

1045

27949 7590 04/11/2008
LAW OFFICE OF JAY R. YABLON
910 NORTHUMBERLAND DRIVE
SCHENECTADY, NY 12309-2814

EXAMINER

JOYNER, KEVIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/604,046	Applicant(s) WRIGHT, JAMES H.	
	Examiner KEVIN C. JOYNER	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN C. JOYNER.

(3) E. Leigh McKane.

(2) Jay Yablon.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chandler (U.S. Patent No. 4,852,843); Sonnabend (U.S. Patent No. 6,571,976).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant inquired about a proposed amendment to claim 1 comprising a limitation including a single unitary article of fabrication wherein said entire article is made from a rigid material. The Examiner replied that the proposed amendment appears to overcome the rejection as set forth in the Office Action filed on March 11, 2008. However, further consideration and/or search would be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elizabeth L McKane/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required